

Chair: Shiv Bhardwaj
 Secretary: Hugo Shipsey
 Returning Officer: Adam Steinberg

1. Report from the JCR President

Thanks for coming. It's going to be a long one looking at the agenda. I'm going to keep it short and sweet. Have worked on prescom, PDF, governing body and some other projects. Tying to wrap them all up so I'll keep you postd. Keep signing up for elections. We have husts for clubs and socs and socio-economic liberation rep. It'll either be at JCR tea or in the evening. And the week after are the main husts. Come along even if you're not running. Also can we make sure we use the speakers responsibly to use them late at night.

2. Reports / Questions to Officers

None.

3. Reading from the Poet Laureate

The New Speakers

Between the walls of community sound
 Inside the thrall of light-speed pounds
 Of a novelty, of a time for a joy – I would stress
 That this verse is by no means a ploy
 For your wonder, not promotion for entz!
 This is all simply notice, for more excitement.

But really the panelled contagion inside here,
 The loud-marked Fever of newness and all,
 Is merely the Bridge from a now to a next year,
 Emporium of votes in the form of a brawl.
 Election's a Circus, a Bully of dance,
 Where Park Entertainment is all that you need
 To just survive husts, and there's still a chance
 For your poet or maybe your entz to succeed.

4. Ratifications from Previous Meetings

Ambiguous Abstentions

1. This JCR notes;

- a. JCR members have the ability to vote for, against or to abstain in respect of a motion
 - b. For motions requiring a 2/3 majority, under section 15 (VI), a motion shall pass “if the number of votes for it is at least twice the number of votes against it”
 - c. For a simple majority, under section 15 (V), a motion shall pass “if and only if the number of votes for it exceeds the number of votes against it and the number of abstentions and spoilt ballots”
2. This JCR believes;
- a. That section 15(V) of the constitution is ambiguous; does it mean;
 - b. A) that the sum of abstentions, spoilt ballots and against votes must exceed the number of for votes
 - c. B) That anyone of these may exceed the number of for votes
 - d. A coded constitution should try its best not to be ambiguous
 - e. It is illogical that if a motion pertains to expenditure or the constitution then the determination of whether a motion passes or fails is based upon there being 2x more for votes than against, whereas for a simple majority abstentions are counted alongside the against votes
 - f. That the definition of special resolution given under section 2, is different to the definition of a 2/3 majority given under section 15 (VI)
 - g. That this is also ambiguous and could leads to an abstention becoming equal to a no vote
 - h. JCR motions in the past have failed under a simple majority but would have passed under a 2/3 majority
 - i. Abstention is defined as “an instance of declining to vote for or against a proposal or motion”
 - j. It is as a result wrong for it to be counted alongside for or against votes
3. This JCR resolves;
- a. To amend the constitution as follows;
 - b. Section 15 (V) to read “If a motion requires a simple majority to pass, the motion passes if the number of votes for the motion exceeds the number of votes against the motion, unless the number of abstentions or/and (in the event of a secret ballot) spoilt ballot papers exceeds the number of those voting for and against the motion”
 - c. That this definition of simple majority will be used in all instances where simple majority is referred to in the constitution; notably, but not limited to, the following sections;
 - d. Section 6 (IX)
 - e. Section 11 (IV)
 - f. Section 13 (IX)
 - g. To amend section 2 Special Resolution to read “Special Resolution- This means a resolution passed by a 2/3 majority of votes cast by members at a JCR meeting, consistent with section 15 (VI)”

Proposed:

Tyron Surmon

Seconded:

Rhiannon Ogden-Jones

SFQ:

None

Debate:

None

Overall majority. One against. This motion is ratified.

5. Constitutional Amendments

6. Motions of No Confidence

7. Charities Motions

8. Monetary Motions

Pin(e)ing for a Christmas Tree (Cheers BP)

1. This JCR Notes:
 - a. Christmas
 - b. Christmas trees
 - c. The joys of Christmas trees
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. We should share in this joy
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. To mandate the DO to purchase a Christmas tree and decorations up to the value of £250

Proposed:

Ben Lakeland

Seconded:

Ryan Mamun

SFQ:

Hannah: Do we have any decorations left over from previous years?

Ben: We should but they may have been lost in the refurb.

Ella: Me and Oscar will run a 0-waste decoration workshop and that should take some weight off the budget?

Will: Where would the tree be stored after Christmas?

Ryan: It dies and college chucks it.

Emily: How big will the tree be?

Ben: I'd rather have it inside so limited to the JCR as outside it'll look small.

Debate:

Ryan: Do you want to try talking to Andy about putting it outside? Also MCR contributed £50 last year.

Ben: Yeh I'll look into that.

Overall majority. This motion passes.

De-humidier

1. This JCR notes:
 - a. we have an art collection
 - b. art is nice when its not in a state
 - c. the art is an asset of the JCR
 - d. moisture is super bad for art
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. we should look after the art collection
 - b. doing so means it shouldnt be in a damp place
 - c. we like the art collection
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. to mandate the arts officer to spend up to 30 quid on a dehumidifier to stop the art rotting

Proposed:

Ella Benson Easton

Seconded:

Ryan Mamun

SFQ:

Tyron: Where is the art currently store?

Ella: A cupboard in staircase 2.

Louisa: Are there more cupboards we can use?

Ella: I've asked college but they won't let me move it.

Ed: Who's emptying the dehumidifier?

Ella: I will and ask the porters when I'm not here.

Debate:

Ben: Can we put some of the art up in the JCR?

Ella: I'm looking to get money from Andy for JCR art.

Ryan: Will the porters be cool with it?

Ella: Yeh

Rhiannon: Should this be in the standing orders?

Ella: Yeh I'm changing those next meeting.

This motion passes unanimously.

Re-framing the debate

1. This JCR notes:
 - a. we have an art collection
 - b. art is nice when its not in a state
 - c. the art is an asset of the JCR
 - d. ella has put bare effort into the collection and doesnt want it to go to waste
 - e. some of the pieces are in a shocking state and need dealing with immediately for them to maintain their value
 - f. through negotiations with andy and some others it has been decided that although the development money is strictly for college and NOT the jcr, the development people would be willing for us to submit a request to get left over money from the telethon into the art as a one time thing but demand that we show a commitment to the collection in the first place by putting this money in
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. reframing the pieces most desperately in need will prevent them from deteriorating until restoration work can be payed for later on
 - b. we need to look after the art collection
 - c. ella knows what shes talking about
 - d. ella has consulted many people on this matter
 - e. ella is doing her job
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. mandate the arts officer to spend up to £900 on the reframing of the worst affected paintings for u all to enjoy

Proposed:

Ella Benson Easton

Seconded:

Ryan Mamun

SFQ:

Hannah: Where in the budget/JCR have we the money for this?

Ella: We have the money.

Ryan: We can afford it.

Lauren: How much is the collection worth?

Ella: I haven't had it valued but some of the pieces are worth up to 8 grand.

Tyron: Can you give more details on your conversation with Andy?

Ella: If we get this money through, we'll take money from the development team who say as a one off thing we can apply in January for this money in a meeting

they've brought forward to restore and frame more paintings and prints which are worth a lot to show we are committed. This is unusual as the fund is for college and the art is JCR owned. That they've agreed is very surprising and if we waste this opportunity it will just get worse.

Seb: Can you give a fresher an idea of what the art collection is?

Ella: I have sent out emails about this, we have an art collection that went missing for about five or ten years and we found it cleaned it up and sorted it. It looks like it was set up in the 50s and full of impressive pieces of art. We haven't bought new pieces for ages as we don't have the budget and forgot about this for a long time. Art has become much more visible in college over the past two years. We have this stash and we rent it out to whatever students sign up and they can put it up for a term then do the same next term.

Ben: How many paintings will this pay for?

Ella: It will reframe three (one huge). There are two prints too but I'd rather get those restored and reframed.

Ryan: If we put this through can we get some other quotes?

Ella: Yeh, I went to the most well-respected and hence most expensive. But can adapt with the up to wording.

Debate:

None.

This motion passes unanimously.

#makeCorpusGayAgain #MCGA

1. This JCR notes:
 - a. The shiny, new walls of the refurbished JCR
 - b. That said walls were once adorned with so many rainbow flags that one was blinded by gayness just by looking around
 - c. That Corpus was, a couple of years ago, the second gayest college in the University
 - d. That said new walls are now barren and bereft of glittery rainbow goodness befitting a college with as much LGBTQ+ representation as Corpus
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. That the JCR walls should be restored to their previous glittery glory
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. To mandate the Equal Opps officer to look for the flags and if they're not found to spend up to £30 on rainbow and rainbow-affiliated flags, and to attack the walls of the JCR with said rainbow and rainbow-affiliated flags until it has returned to its former fabulousness

Proposed:

William Song

Seconded:

Tyron Surmon

SFQ:

Harry: How did we measure whether we were the second gayest college?

Eve: they did a survey of who self-identified as gay.

Hannah: what happened to the previous flags?

Lil: It was a JCR refurb thing.

Arjun: What did it look like before?

Will: There were a couple of big flags and then lots of bunting.

Debate:

Ryan: Can I propose an amendment to mandate the Equal Opps Officer? (seconded by Arjun-taken as friendly)

Lil: Can we add an amendment to look for the old flags first? (seconded Russell-taken as friendly)

Seb: can we make sure once the flags are put up they're put up in a good way?

Meg: I've done it before.

Lil: We can't attach stuff to the paneling cos it's heritage.

Ella: The bunting had the ducks on and I remember seeing it around.

Shiv: Point of information – I think it's around somewhere.

This motion passes unanimously.

Making use of the Windfall

1. This JCR notes:
 - a. Last Trinity term the committed and dedicated E&E officer paid £100 for sustainable goodies from the Oxford Co-Operative for an E&E Welfare Tea.
 - b. The Oxford Co-operative have had an undisclosed "disaster" behind the scenes.
 - c. This means they cannot provide sustainable goodies and will refund our payment.
 - d. We therefore have a £100 windfall.
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. Much windfall fruit, such as apples and blackberries, is wasted each year.
 - b. Wasting windfall is bad.
 - c. We should not waste this £100 windfall.
 - d. As this money was set aside for the E&E budget, and the expense has already been accounted for, this money should be added to the E&E officer's budget for this term.
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. To permit the E&E officer to spend up to £100 this term on top of the allotted budget.

Proposed:

Oscar Beighton

Seconded:

Ryan Mamun

SFQ:

Seb: Spend it on what?

Oscar: E&E events. Hopefully a pub quiz and I'm working on structuring a 0-waste competition.

Oscar: We haven't been refunded yet so I need to work on that.

Ryan: Can I just add it to the budget? I don't know if it's ok constitutionally.

Debate:

Tyron: You found out about this £100 recently. But you only budgeted for £20.

Oscar: It's something I'd planned and spent it last year but it fell through because they can't provide food.

Harry: If it fell through for the same event surely it should go back to the budget and then do it.

Oscar: it's a way of telling people why I want another £100.

Arjun: the money was spent on a welfare tea?

Oscar: Yeh and I'm now going to spend it on something similar.

Arjun: how much is normal welfare tea?

Lil: Roughly £75.

Seb: Is it permissible constitutionally to apply for an event that hasn't been decided on?

Adam: Yes.

This motion passes with an overwhelming majority.

Sew we need(le) to talk about fixing our clothes...

1. This JCR notes:
 - a. Sometimes clothes get damaged.
 - b. Often they can be fixed.
 - c. Clothes are often fixed using a needle and thread, and occasionally patches and spare buttons.
 - d. We do not currently have a sewing kit.
 - e. People often ask for a sewing kit.
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. Repairing clothes is good for the planet and personal savings.
 - b. Sometimes repaired clothes can be fashionable.
 - c. That we should have a freely accessible sewing kit.
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. To mandate the domestic officer to spend up to £30 on a sewing kit including a variety of needles, threads, spare buttons, and patches, in order to encourage the repairing of clothes.
 - b. To add to the DO standing orders to maintain the sewing kit

Proposed:

Oscar Beighton

Seconded:

Ella Benson Easton

SFQ:

Ryan: why £50?

Oscar: This is just up to.

Lil: Can you let the lodge look after it?

Oscar: Yep.

Emily: Why do we need such a big sewing kit?

Oscar: It's for patches etc.

Debate:

Hannah: As someone who sews a lot I still don't see why it needs to be so big.

Oscar: It's up to.

Ryan: Amend to up to £30 (seconded Hannah-friendly)

Tyron: Feels like one of those things we spend money on and then it disappears.

Rhiannon: Shall we mandate the E&E officer to look

Amendment to add to the DO standing orders to maintain the sewing kit

(seconded Hannah-taken as friendly).

Overall majority. This motion passes.

Corpus JCR and the Chamber of Boogie

1. This JCR Notes:

- a. Oxford nightlife is fairly shoddy to say the least, and something needs to be done to Bridge the gap to other unis
- b. The potential closing down of Cellar due to fire risks is a flaming disaster
- c. A small explanation for any Freshers yet to see the promised land: it's like ear sex in a dingy cramped basement
- d. The Cellar has recently launched a crowdfunder page and need a frankly ridiculous amount of money :((

2. This JCR Believes:

- a. We are people of excellent musical taste who should continue to have somewhere to boogie that doesn't insist on wet remixes and shouting "when I say fuzzy you say ducks"
- b. The death of cellar would leave our local club as Emporium and tbh I would rather get hot n heavy at a ska night (but I can't cos guess what they were at cellar)
- c. The chamber of boogie should be reopened

3. This JCR Resolves:

- a. To mandate the treasurer to donate up to £100 to cellar
- b. to mandate the entz officer to propagate the donations to cellar to the student body

Proposed:

Ryan Mamun

Seconded:

Zoe Kuyken

SFQ:

Rhiannon: Is this not unconstitutional as they're a charity?

Adam: They're a limited company not a charity.

Will: How much is the outrageous amount?

Tyron: 80,000.

Seb: is this one of the fund me pages where you don't pay if you don't reach it?

Tyron: Yes.

Hannah: For those who don't care about Cellar what's going on?

Lil: They need to build another fire exit to be able to raise the numbers cap.

Debate:

Lucie: What makes this a relevant way to spend the money?

Ryan: Supports JCR members through good nights and supports local bands and music. I think we should donate a small amount to show our support. £50 gets us a poster to put up.

Collette: It's one of few clubs with student run nights and DJ sets. I want to keep supporting that.

Hannah: There will be a lot of people here who don't like clubbing and or cellar. Is there a large enough group of people who attend to justify spending this money?

Liv: People saying it's all about clubbing it is but it's also about concerts and listening to and supporting live and local music. As much about music as clubbing.

Lil: I know what you're point is but we have to assume that if people come to the meeting they care and that it's the JCR opinion.

Eve: We just bought a Wii. Same principle.

Harry: Couldn't we just encourage people to donate individually?

Ella: We can still donate individually. Just means overall it's a larger amount.

Seb: Amend the motion to mandate the entz officer to propagate the donations to cellar to the student body (seconded by Harry-taken as friendly)

Harry: I was just considering that if we all clump together as a group we can get a reward.

Ella: We can organise that amongst ourselves.

Hannah: If we're doing a whip-round in the JCR why do we need this?

Ella: More money is better. Supports people like Lil who DJ.

Lucie: How much money have we spent on this kind of thing? On JCR meeting motions.

Ryan: I'll get back to you on this one.

Tyron: The campaign runs out in 16 days. Our next meeting is in 14 so we could do it then seeing where we're at?

Ryan: Other colleges have bigger budgets.

30 in favour. 4 against. 10 abstentions. This motion passes.

9. Motions as submitted

T-oat-ally committed to sustainability

1. This JCR notes:
 - a. Many members of the JCR are vegan.
 - b. Many members wish to reduce their environmental impact.
 - c. The Domestic Officer currently only regularly provides cow's milk at JCR teas and similar events.
 - d. Oat drink is a sustainable alternative to cow's milk.
 - e. Soy milk and almond milk are not.
 - f. Oat drink is less likely to pose a risk of allergies than other alternatives.
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. Oat drink would be nice to have for vegans and those avoiding cow's milk.
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. To mandate the DO to ensure that oat drink is always available as an alternative to cow's milk.
 - b. To mandate whichever JCR officer is running JCR tea to include oat drink with the array of offerings at JCR teas and similar events.
 - c. To mandate the DO to have a discussion with college to include oat milk in the range of options they provide

Proposed:

Oscar Beighton

Seconded:

Ella Benson Easton

SFQ:

Josh: Would this be instead of regular milk?

Ben: It will be alongside regular milk. We have milk provided by the buttery. When I've provided it in the past it's not been drunk.

Ryan: Doesn't oat milk have gluten in?

Owen: Yes it does.

Oscar: It has gluten.

Louisa: Does hall have oat milk?

Lil: They have a range of non-dairy ones. I don't know if they have a regular supply.

Ben: Should be the responsibility of JCR officers.

Debate:

Louisa: If oat milk is so much better why not just ask college to buy it?

Oscar: Yeh I'm in favour. It's about having it available for tea.

Rhiannon: Amend part b to mandate whichever officer is running JCR tea (seconded Will-taken as friendly)

Rhiannon: Who is buying the milk?

Oscar: Yes I will ask college to provide oat milk.

Rhiannon: Mandate DO to have a discussion with college to include oat milk in the range of options they provide (seconded Lil-friendly)

Overwhelming majority. This motion passes.

Let's Make A Record

1. This JCR Notes:
 - a. That we keep records on make-up of the JCR executive, and minutes of meetings
 - b. That election results are a thing
 - c. That several positions each election cycle require a manifesto
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. That it would improve accountability if previous year's manifestos were publicly available
 - b. That it would be interesting to see breakdowns of old election results
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. To add to the standing orders of the JCR Computing and Website Officer "To keep records of all manifestos and election results, to be publicly viewable on the JCR website"

Proposed:

Tyron Surmon

Seconded:

Rhiannon Ogden-Jones

SFQ:

None

Debate:

None

Overwhelming majority. This motion passes.

International Representative Standing Orders Change

1. This JCR Notes:
 - a. The standing orders for the International Representative role are wrong
2. This JCR Believes:
 - a. The standing orders should be up to date
3. This JCR Resolves:
 - a. To change them by

- i) Altering clause 5 to “Attend the meal organized to welcome Stanford students each term, and be available to greet them when they arrive” rather than organizing the meal, as this is done by college
- ii) Removing clause 8 (“To contact Stanford students each term in 0th week before they attend Stanford lunch”), as college does not in fact share the contacts of the new students before they arrive
- iii) Altering clause 10 to “Negotiate storage options with the relevant college authority each term and publicize these arrangements to the JCR International Students”, rather than liaising with the Accommodation Manager for this purpose
- iv) Adding new clause: “Organizing other social activities for JCR International Students, both when they arrive in Freshers’ week and during term time”

Proposed:

Ana Ghenciulescu

Seconded:

Ben Wilson

SFQ:

Ana: We only get in contact with them after they arrive.

Louisa: The students who have gone to Stanford arrange the details so it’s their responsibility.

Debate:

None

This motion passes unanimously.

Computing Officer Standing Orders Change

1. This JCR Notes:
 - a. My standing orders are outdated
2. This JCR Believes:
 - a. This is bad
3. This JCR Resolves:
 - a. To delete all references to the Computing Committee (which dissolved two weeks ago
 - b. To delete standing order 3
 - c. To delete “To maintain the JCR computer and” from standing order 5

Proposed:

Ed Hart

Seconded:

Owen Tuck

SFQ:

Tyron: What was the committee and why has it gone?

Ed: it was a committee with the IT fellow and the president. It wasn't a big meeting and has been folded into the academic committee.

Shiv: This is currently a trial.

Debate:

Lucie: If the role of the computing officer has been added to academic meetings shouldn't you go?

Ed: Yes, I can go.

This motion passes unanimously.

Who Welfares the Welfares?

1. This JCR Notes:

- a. The welfare officers' standing orders are outdated. Specifically, numbers 9. to ensure to the best of their ability that JCR members who do not identify as either men or women are welcome at Women's Tea, for example genderqueer people, gender neutral people, people with a fluid gender identity. 10. to run Welfare Tea with the Welfare Officer (Male)/ (Female) at least once a term. 15. to be trained in operating the C-Card scheme by the start of Michaelmas of their term in office.
- b. that the new welfare tea system, in which everyone is welcome at either of the officers' welfare tea, is a vast improvement on the aggressive vibe from previous years
- c. that no one knows what the c-card system is and how to operate it

2. This JCR Believes:

- a. That outdated standing orders should be updated
- b. That welfare teas with no aggressive food grabbing is much more welfare-y
- c. That the c-card system is outdated

3. This JCR Resolves:

- a. To amend point 8. from both welfare officers' standing orders to: "To run Welfare Tea every weekend of term and ensure that every jcr member is welcome at their tea."
- b. To remove point 9. and 10. from their standing orders
- c. To remove point 15. from their standing orders

Proposed:

Lilya Tata

Seconded:

Rhiannon Ogden-Jones

SFQ:

Harry: What did it ask people to do with the C-card system?

Lil: I looked this up, it's a way of getting free condoms and now you can get it online. I was just going to publicise it alongside the sexual health services we offer already.

Lucie: Is advertising the contraception services in the standing orders?

Debate:

Will: This removes any reference to non-binary genders in the standing orders.

Lil: It removes reference to all genders other than in officer titles.

Shiv: Adds point that all JCR members should be welcome.

This motion passes unanimously.

We're not Meating on Mondays

1. This JCR notes:
 - a. That the NHS advises that we consume only 2-3 portions of meat per week to reduce risk of high cholesterol and certain cancers.
 - b. That at present, our hall serves three meat portions per day.
 - c. That animal agriculture contributes more greenhouse gas emissions to the atmosphere per annum than all forms of traffic on the planet combined and is responsible for 91% of Amazon destruction.
 - d. That livestock and their by-products account for 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.
 - e. That animal agriculture has been widely cited as the leading cause of deforestation, habitat destruction, animal extinction, ocean dead zones, and water pollution. (WWF, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Worldwatch Institute, Scientific America, Centre for Biological Diversity, Oppenlander)
2. This JCR believes:
 - a. That we should be acting in the interest of the planet and its inhabitants, both human or otherwise, by fighting climate change collectively.
 - b. That cutting down our meat consumption is an essential factor in cutting down our greenhouse gas emissions and minimising our impact on our world.
 - c. That by not serving meat and fish on Mondays, we would reduce our reliance on meat and move towards more sustainable levels of consumption.
3. This JCR resolves:
 - a. To mandate the Domestic Officer and the President to discuss meat-free Mondays with Andy Rolfe and the kitchen staff and the MCR and all college staff as a matter of priority to demand that only meat-free and fish-free (vegetarian) options be offered in hall on Mondays.

Proposed:

Oscar Beighton

Seconded:

Ella Benson Easton

SFQ:

Hannah: When this came up before what happened?

Shiv: It failed.

Lauren: I seem to remember we were meant to have more veggie options.

Ben: We had word for word the same motion a year and a half ago. We passed a motion to have two veg and one meat option and they said no. John Jackson says the same issues come up every few years. Realistically we don't have much of a say here.

Shiv: The DO was mandated.

Ben: Ryan and I both spoke with college about more meat-free options and they are very reluctant to act.

James: Have you spoken to the MCR about it?

Ella: Has to go through us first.

Rhiannon: What is 3. B.

Oscar: I copied that from the last one.

Lil: Has a meat-free option been passed before?

Ryan: Yes it was passed six years ago and nothing happened.

Lil: Mike is retiring so we'll have a new chef.

Debate:

Hannah: It says liaising with college staff. Amend also liaise with the MCR and all college staff (seconded Rhiannon-friendly)

Ella: When we talk about people disagreeing about food wastage more people go for meat option so more waste. If all veggie less waste.

Dermot: Maybe fewer people would eat in college.

Ella: Then they'll make less food.

Ryan: Mike said before there was more wasted food.

Ryan: Can I propose a secret ballot?

Adam: Yes.

Liv: The fact that there might be meat-free Mondays will give maybe more creative options. Would be beneficial for college variety.

Ryan: I'm pretty sure meat is great

Libby: Meat and vegetables are equally great. I love the Cherwell.

Liv: The cauliflower and lentil curry was dope, get me more of that!

Lucie: With regards to vegan options sometimes they don't like non-vegans getting vegan food.

Harry: There is opposition to someone getting the vegan option if one is not always vegan.

Ella: Even asking for vegan options shows increased demand.

Ben: A rubbish joke.

Ben Wilson: Just because college can't pass it doesn't mean we can't vote.

Ruby: To counter Ben's point on variety, we will get 3 different options,

Shiv: Ryan

Ryan: You mean Ben

Ben: MAKES ANOTHER BAD JOKE.

Oscar: We are consistently behind other colleges in taking progressive steps like these. Not just meat. Also divestment etc. we are the only college that has opted out of the green energy tariff. Andy Rolfe denied this but I spoke to the environment rep at the university and they made clear this was the case.

Hannah: Ben, how often do we send out the food survey and how likely are they to listen to us about it?

Ben: At the start of this term they wanted to arrange a meeting and then didn't hold it but it is termly and before Munro was annual.

Celia: I know that some people have proposed recipes they like to the cook and he has tried them out so if people have nice options they want to suggest do that?

Ben: Between me and Ryan we have proposed 50 or so formal and non-formal recipes. We have tried to improve the food.

Lucie: In terms of marketing it and getting it through staff and so on we could do it if we emphasised it has protein in it.

Seb: If we have noted that on a practical note when it was tried food waste went up and people went out it seems if we did it again we'd be harming college and increasing food waste. Perhaps more useful to make it more ideological by putting a sign up in front of hall saying that the NHS doesn't promote eating lots of meat.

Oscar: All the previous trials have been very short-term. The last time this motion went through I opposed it quite vocally because we rallied the boat club to oppose it. People adapt and adjust.

Ella: I want to reiterate what Oscar is saying, when I came to corpus at interviews I was the first vegan meal they were asked to cook.

Hannah: Long-term, if this passes, we should think about making sure that the three veggie options have variety in them.

41 in favour. 9 against. 1 abstention. This motion passes.

10. Emergency Motions

11. Any other Business