**Agenda for JCR Meeting, 7.30 p.m., 23 February 2014**

**Chair: Erika Pheby**

**Secretary: Harry Begg**

**Returning Officer: Olivia Thompson**

Committee members absent, with apologies: Emily Miller (Careers Officer). Bethany Currie and Phoebe Brereton remained for ‘open questions to committee members’, before offering apologies and making for the library for Mods preparation.

**Personal Development Fund Emergency Motion**

**This JCR notes**

1. That the size of Personal Development Fund, as it stands is £3000.

2. That this fund aims to “help students who, due to financial realities, may be prevented from taking part in extracurricular ‘Personal Development’ activities.”

3. That last term, there were six applications, and £1080 was handed out.

4. That after having raised awareness, there were 9 requests made (8 from the JCR) and that the committee decided to give out £1080.

5. That if a similar level of funding is given out in Trinity there will be around a £300 shortfall.

6. That the MCR is willing to provide £100 to cover the cost of the difference.

**This JCR believes**

1. That a number of the JCR’s members have benefitted from the personal development fund over the years, but that awareness always tended to be low.

2. That with more publicity there will likely be a similar, or even higher number of applications in Trinity.

3. That the Bursar is reconsidering the size of the grant when more data on the number of applications is collected.

4. That a bit of JCR/MCR cooperation is never a bad thing.

**This JCR Resolves**

1. To mandate the treasurer to provide £200 for the personal development fund.

Proposed: Ian Headley

Seconded: Harry Begg

Short Factual Questions (N.B. ‘Personal Development Fund’ is hereafter ‘PDF’)

Tom Heaps: I am handing back £100 for Challenge – is this accounted for?

Ian: Yes.

Vicki: Why are we not waiting until Trinity to pass this motion?

Ian: The Bursar has said he wants data on PDF progression; probably 1-2 years to see how much it is needed.

Hannah Murphy: Did you know the MCR has given £100?

Chair: Yes, thank you.

Alex: Why do we need this now before we know how much is needed next term?

Ian: This funds up to the limit of what we might expect; Trinity will bring a lot of applications.

Vicki: Travel fund – does this get used up?

Ian: This is PDF; the funds are for different things. It does get used up, though.

Tom: Do we know what people are paid for? How do we know that less will be needed next term?

Ian: Sports has been about 20% PDF funds.

Chair: We are given a set amount but they don’t know if more people will apply next term. If we pay this much now we will get the extra amount next year. They don’t want to extend it in the middle of the year. Lots of other colleges do a similar thing where JCRs pay for personal development.

Hannah: Can we afford this?

Ian: I would say that this is feasible.

Toby A: What is going to happen if we contribute this money and the required number of people don’t apply?

Chair: We get it back.

Alex L: Was there not a set amount available at the start?

Chair: £1000 a term but this would help cover the overspend.

Amy: Who allocates the money?

Chair: MCR Pres, Junior Dean, me, Robin Murphy – we review the applications.

Vicki: % of rejection?

Chair: 100% have been accepted but people don’t get as much as they ask for.

Debate:

Chris: We are setting a bad precedent – college haven’t said that they will reimburse us. We don’t know if this is a definite thing. With Treasurer saying we are not in good financial situation – this goes against the spirit of this motion.

Ian: This may seem like a double standard. But £200 will not break the bank.

Chair: We are contributing to other JCR members, rather than to college.

Cameron: Are we not setting a precedent, though, for future terms?

Ian: The money is coming from what we pay college. PDF and JCR – if we are supplementing the fund, it makes sense for them to flip it over. PDF is a good element in rent negotiations. They like giving us PDF money.

Amy: If we get more than the number of applications – they will increase the grant. So this is just £200 that we are down on. There will never be a refund, as such.

Chair: Not for Trinity – this is in respect of next year rather than Trinity.

Overwhelming majority, motion passes.

**Constitutional Amendments (First Reading)**

**1. Truth is the highest thing man may keep (Geoffrey Chaucer, *The Canterbury Tales*)**

**This JCR notes:**

**-** That members of the JCR are not allowed to challenge candidates on factually incorrect statements during their husts.

- That not everyone in the JCR knows when candidates make factually incorrect statements, especially freshers who were not present at the time of many of the issues raised.

**This JCR believes:**

- in truth

- in ensuring that JCR members, especially freshers, are accurately informed before elections.

**This JCR resolves:**

- To introduce a period after general questions in which JCR members can ask questions addressed to specific candidates about the contents of their speech and manifestos.

- To change Article 28 of the constitution such that it reads:

"Immediately after the candidate's initial speeches, there will be a period of general questions. During this period, all questions must be addressed to all candidates through the chair, and each candidate must be given an equal opportunity to speak. The chair shall have the right to rule out of order any question they deem to be irrelevant, offensive or implicitly aimed at a particular candidate, unless this ruling is overturned by a two thirds majority of those JCR members present. After the general questions, there will be a period of time (length subject to the RO's discretion) during which members of the JCR can ask questions directed to specific candidates. These questions must be related to the content of their hust or their responses to the general questions."

Proposed: Alexander Law (Poet Laureate)

Seconded: Navya Anand (Female Welfare)

No debate, no opposition, motion passes.

**Motions as submitted**

**2. Time Crisis 3**

**This JCR Notes:**

* Time Crisis 3 was the pinnacle of arcade gaming.
* A Time Crisis 3 Large Deluxe Twin Machine can be set to be coin-operated.
* There is a Time Crisis 3 Large Deluxe Twin Machine with 50in screens on eBay for £1,500.
* That St. Catz (a far inferior college to ours) has a Time Crisis 2 machine.

**This JCR Believes:**

* Time Crisis 3 is timeless and will be forever enjoyed by all who grace Corpus.
* Welfare efforts to show Corpus Cares have been great and all, but what we really need is to shoot some people.
* If so desired, the JCR can earn back the money spent on the Time Crisis 3 machine.

**This JCR Resolves:**

* To mandate the Clubs & Socs Officer to purchase the Time Crisis 3 Large Deluxe Twin Machine with 50in screens for up to £1,500 (or, if this has been purchased already, a Time Crisis 2 Twin machine for £895)

To make a decision on whether or not the Time Crisis machine should be coin-operated at a later date.

Proposed: Tom Heaps

Seconded: Guy Ward

Short Factual Questions (N.B. Time Crisis 2 Twin Machine is hereafter ‘TC2)

Jamie W: How much would it cost to operate the machine if coin operated?

Tom: Set to whatever price we want.

Vicki: Where is TC2 going?

Tom: We will work that out when it arrives.

Alex: Any other colleges that have TC2?

Aled: Peters and Baillol.

Cameron: Is this as well as or instead of the console for the TV room?

Tom: I don’t have knowledge of the console plans.

Josh: Where do we get this money from?

Tom: The JCR account.

Ian: Is there a guarantee on TC2?

Tom: Barney would fix it.

Toby A: Where would we have it - beer cellar or JCR office?

Tom: Due to the enormity of the 50in screen it would not fit anywhere apart from in the middle of the beer cellar.

Jamie: Is this going to be bought from a retailer or private seller?

Tom: EBay seller with high ratings.

Nikhil: Would it cost more for coin operation?

Tom: No.

Debate

Vicki: This isn’t feasible in terms of money right now. Maybe in the future.

Jamie: You say TC2 is an inferior product. In the future might this be inferior too?

Chris: TC2 the greatest of them all.

Alex: This is an experience like no other.

Tom: It comes with two 50in screens.

Luke Mintz: I think this would only be enjoyed by a small group; potentially male-dominated. I don’t think I would enjoy the game and I think this is the same as a lot of people. If we don’t know where it’s going to go then it’s going to cause problems straight away.

Alex: Same as the case that not everyone enjoys pool, for example. Each thing we get is going to cater for more and more individuals within the JCR.

Amy: We’ve passed things like buying new games consoles – this is always going to be the case, Luke.

Erika: For your information, BNY Mellon is going to donate a new table tennis table.

Tom: I would like to amend the motion – JCR Office or TV room pending the results of the use of the TV room from Erika’s survey on the use of that room by junior members.

Jamie: The difference between funding things like the ceilidh and games consoles or TC2: Ceilidh is a lot cheaper.

Tobias: Propose an amendment as to whether it is coin operated until a later date, and for this to be 40p.

Tom: Taken as hostile.

Debate on amendment

Tom: You can set when you want it; I think it should be 50p.

Jamie: 50p is good – people like having 50ps.

Amendment withdrawn

Tom: Amendment such that the cost of the TC2 machine game play is 50p and the money will go back to the JCR – this will happen at a later date.

Toby A: Freshers’ week club tickets – these for example are geared towards those who like club nights.

Dom: If the money is there it should be spent – we don’t know how much this is. Propose an amendment to delay by two weeks and the Treasurer when he knows what’s in the JCR bank account.

Tom: We’ve had sufficient debate and this is one of the most representative JCR meetings. This is a good time to make a decision. Pass it today means it is bought with a certain amount of money.

Ian: Money that’s not budgeted for this term plus the extra expenditures; this could be concerning. The amendment should be that we have £1,500 minimum.

Dom: I concur.

Taken as friendly.

Will Glover: Next JCR meeting, I will be proposing a new games console: an alternative which is cheaper.

Alex L: We will make money back from the TC machine. Next generation console does not bring in revenue. The previous Xbox has been destroyed; consoles are mistreated. TC is sturdy and would bring in revenue.

Cameron: We need to look at other options – decades of consoles that we could purchase for less.

Kit: There might be Entz money left over. We could get insurance for this.

Joel: If it’s really big how are we e.g. going to get it upstairs?

Chris: They dismantle it.

Luke: A report back on how many people would use it would be good. Amendment such that we have a survey a term after we get it that asks how many people in the JCR have used it.

Taken as friendly

Move to vote

In favour: 16

Against: 20

Motion falls.

**3. OUSU Bye-Laws and Male Franchise**

This JCR notes:

-That OUSU is currently bringing governance changes into effect, including new bye-laws.

-That self-identifying men are currently denied a vote in elections for OUSU's Sabbatical position of Women's VP.

-That amongst the new bye-laws is a provision (Appendix 1) extending this principle to no-confidence referenda in the Women's VP, in which self-identifying men would currently have a vote.

-That this bye-law requires three readings to pass, and that at the first reading (5th week OUSU council) it passed with no opposition.

This JCR believes:

-That the JCR should be given the opportunity to debate and direct its OUSU representatives over all issues which it deems to be controversial.

This JCR resolves:

-To mandate its officers in attendance at OUSU council so that their votes represent the views of the JCR in this motion.

Appendix 1:

From the Commentary on Proposed Changes to Governing Documents:

"Bye-Law 17

-We propose re-inserting the crucial provisions (17.6) that ensure that only student members who are women in the case of a motion of no confidence in the VP - women (or VP-elect - women), or student members who are graduates in the case of the VP and VP-elect - Graduates, can vote in the referendum.

-In the longer term we feel consideration should be given to the arguably anomalous situation that a limited franchise does not currently apply to motions of no confidence for part-time women and graduate positions, because those occur solely in Council."

Proposed: Alex Rankine

Seconded: Chris Ewing

Short Factual Questions

Jamie: Could you not raise this at OUSU Council?

Alex: OUSU Council is not conducive to debate; if at this meeting an objection is raised then this is a good environment for me to be able to take it up at Council.

Nikhil: How would you go about gauging the views of the JCR?

Alex: This debate.

Debate

Jamie: I don’t think this should be opposed. This is about votes of no confidence. It doesn’t make sense for a vote of no confidence – OUSU changing all there by-law provisions and I don’t think we should want to oppose this.

Alex: I don’t know what the JCR thinks about the franchise restriction. It strikes me that us opposing it won’t stop it passing and we are not going to get a coalition with other JCRs. I find it disturbing that this is going through unanimously. It assumes on principle a franchise restriction.

Tom: There are some people who disagree with the process of voting for women. Even if people agree with your initial point I don’t think we would oppose a change. I suggest an amendment not to oppose OUSU Council motions but thank the OUSU Officer for bringing this to the meeting.

Overwhelming majority, motion passes.

**4. Flowers in memory of Robert Patterson’s Partner**

**This JCR notes** that:

1. That Robert Patterson's partner has sadly passed away.

2. That Robert has been an important member of this community for some years now.

**This JCR believes:**

1. That it would be important to express the JCR's sympathy with Robert with the purchase of some flowers and a card.

**This JCR resolves** to:

1. Mandate Erika to buy a card and flowers up to the cost of £50.

Proposed: Ian Headley

Seconded: Harry Begg

Short Factual Questions

Steph: Are we sure flowers are what’s most appropriate?

Secretary: Yes, MCR have donated to a pertinent charity and we will send flowers, so there is no cross-over.

Debate

Vicki: Can we have a card in the JCR to sign?

Chair: Yes that’s fine, of course – no amendment needed.

No opposition, motion passes.

**5. I KNOW WHAT YOU DID LAST JCR MEETING (please see separate attachment for full report)**

**The Commission Notes\*:**

that increasingly over the last two years there has been a lack of accountability over the JCR

that the report in the addendum to this motion details the institutional lack of accountability that has resulted from a lack of scrutiny

**This JCR Notes:**

accountability is the cornerstone of good JCR governance

**This JCR Believes:**

the accountability commission has presented a historical report which represents a real trend in recent years towards ignoring motions in the JCR

that the will of the JCR should be followed

that there is no point in having meetings if the JCR committee ignores the JCR

**This JCR Resolves:**

to implement penalties for committee members that ignore mandates from the JCR including the removal of 'carrots'

to mandate the JCR President to present a review of progress on the previous week's motions and any expenditures that have not been carried out from previous meetings at the start of every JCR Meeting

Proposed: Alex Law

Seconded: Stephanie Cherrill

\*N.B. This Commission was set up amongst a group of friends.

Short Factual Questions

Jamie: Are we going to determine time limits for purchases?

Alex L: 2 weeks but if research a bit longer, perhaps.

Debate

Jamie: In OUSU Scrutiny Committee – manifesto pledges – shall we consider putting that in too?

Alex L: Yes.

Tom: Husts recorded and to include a review of the previous weeks’ motions and expenditures. Include under clause (i) of ‘Resolves’ ‘and manifesto pledges from the entire JCR Committee’.

Accepted as friendly

Jamie: No carrots if miss JCR meeting?

Vicki: 3 strikes you lose your carrot – fourth then no con vote.

Taken as friendly

Jamie: If you don’t attempt to buy your product, this is not a concern only if you don’t report back at the next meeting – there might be a credible reason. Amendment to insert this into ‘Resolves’.

Amendment taken as friendly.

Toby A: Amendment so it’s two failures and you lose committee carrots, and three and you have a motion of no confidence submitted.

Amendment taken as friendly.

Ian: Are we accepting the report? The dog walking motion was not a committee member; Equal Opps t-shirts are not relevant now.

Alex L: We don’t know any of that.

Ian: There is a lack of data in the report to back up 2011, too.

Tom: A report would be sufficient. Also we can decide if someone is not doing anything.

Sandy: Amendment so the committee member reports back in officers’ report rather than the meeting.

Taken as friendly

Alex: We should still have a quick summary from the JCR Pres at the beginning of this week.

Chris: No minutes have been posted since TT last year. Amendment to post all minutes since TT 2013 online by VP.

Taken as friendly.

Toby: We did pass a motion about the camp beds. Also we don’t have a clause for someone to decide on whether a motion has been followed up on by a committee member, for example the President and VP, as the motion stands. Amendment for VP and President to decide on whether the motion has been followed up on.

Taken as hostile

Alex: It should be the JCR who decides.

Toby: How do we establish the facts?

Emily: It’s just a question of whether someone has done what they’ve been asked to do – the JCR members can do that.

Chris: It will be quite clear if it’s been followed up on.

Toby: To counter what Chris says – if it is just in an officer’s report, it is difficult to tell if it’s just laziness or if they haven’t been able to do something. So I can see the benefit of someone deciding.

Nikhil: Leave to the wisdom of the JCR to decide whether an officer is just being lazy. If it’s filtered through other officers is problematic.

Tom: When we passed the carrots motion – two then three rule, but if we think someone has been so ridiculous over one thing – we don’t need to worry about individual matters.

Sandy: If we are going to have a period at the beginning of meetings every week when officers have questions asked to them, this would be a good forum.

Alex: We have to scrutinize the exec. Have a report read out by the President then short questions?

Tobias: Without this amendment we don’t have a formalized procedure as to how this is decided on. If we don’t pass this amendment then we need some formal decision.

Overwhelming opposition to amendment; amendment falls.

Ian: A lot of the things in the report are from crossovers from one tenure to the next. Accountability problem but it’s when the officers have switched over, usually. Our mechanisms for accountability are lost.

Sandy: Is this just about money motions?

Amy: We amended it to include manifestoes, too.

Sandy: To report back every meeting is going to be a huge time commitment. For example Disability – I have fulfilled this as much as I can and in officers’ reports.

Alex: Breakdown in officers’ reports would be appropriate.

Vicki: Amendment so the officer leaving writes all that they are supposed to do in the handover document.

Taken as friendly

Alex: If it is buying for the JCR, though, it shouldn’t go into the consideration of the changeover.

Amy: Google Doc where we can see the money motions and people can write when they’ve done them.

Alex L: People don’t check the Google Doc – quick check at the start of the JCR motion.

Amy: Yes, as well.

Ian: I am making a Google Doc for people’s budgets so I could add this to the Doc.

Alex: Yes that’s fine.

Tom: Fine for the budget but does this mean you will take a note of who has been mandated for things?

Ian: Yes. Separately, can we get rid of the table in your report? I don’t want that published because it is not factually correct.

Accepted as friendly

Overwhelming majority, motion passes.

**6. Money off Amazon**

**This JCR notes**

1. Students buy a lot of things from Amazon

2. Students don't have a lot of money

3. During our recent quarantine in The Corpus Cambridge P.O.W. Camp, Mr Jones spied a poster detailing that Corpus Cambridge students get between 5 and 10% off their Amazon Purchases

**This JCR believes**

4. That Amazon provide an "Amazon Affiliates" service for charities/organisations

5. Enrolment in the "Amazon Affiliates" service leads to discounts to members who mention those charities/organisations at check-out.

5. If the Tabs can get money off Amazon orders then so should we.

**This JCR resolves**

6. To mandate the Vice President to set up an "Amazon Affiliates" account for Corpus JCR and publicise the mechanism by which we can get our discounts off via email and poster in the JCR

Proposed: Aled Jones

Seconded: Chris Ewing

Short Factual Questions:

Tom: We get a cut of the expenditure? A rebate?

Aled: Yes.

No opposition, motion passes.

**7. Money off Dominos**

**This JCR notes**

1. A number of other College JCRs have an agreement with Dominoes allowing for 50% off discounts on orders above a certain size

2. Corpus Students eat a lot of Dominoes pizza.

**This JCR believes**

3. That it would be good to save Corpus students from desperately hunting for discount codes for their Dominoes pizza

**This JCR resolves**

4. To mandate the Treasurer to get in touch with Dominoes and see if we can get a 50% discount for Corpus JCR members

Proposed: Aled Jones

Seconded: Chris Ewing

Short Factual Questions:

Chris: Is it not the case that this code runs on forever?

Aled: Yes.

Jamie: Does that mean the code will extend beyond our Corpus time?

Aled: It is linked to Park End but if ever you are in Oxford…

Vicki: Can the pizzas only be delivered to Corpus addresses?

Tom: At St Anne’s it’s linked to their main site.

No opposition, motion passes.

**8. JCR Clock**

**This JCR notes**

* That there is no clock in the JCR
* That the recent furniture survey saw that 80% of JCR members want a clock in the JCR

**This JCR believes**

* That clocks are useful to tell the time
* That it is good to be on time to things
* That a clock in the JCR would have to be in keeping with the current JCR look

**This JCR resolves**

* To mandate the Vice Presidentto buy a nice clock for the JCR

Proposed by: Sandy Downs

Seconded by:Tom Heaps

Short Factual Questions:

Jamie: Where will it go?

Sandy: Mantle piece. A nice pretty one.

Tom: Do TC2 machines have clocks?

All: Yes.

Debate

Vicki: Amendment so it’s set to a maximum of £80.

Taken as friendly.

Amy: Amendment for the clock be quiet.

Taken as friendly.

Vicki: Amendment down to discretion of Harry but good for people to be able to show support for a kind of clock, e.g. link on Corpus JCR Facebook page.

Taken as friendly.

Overhwelming majority, motion passes.

**9. JCR Heaters**

**This JCR notes**

* That the JCR is quite cold
* That we have two heaters in the JCR
* That one of these heaters broken

**This JCR believes**

* That it’s a nice thing when the JCR is warm
* That heaters do a good job of warming up the JCR

**This JCR resolves**

* To mandate the Vice Presidentto buy a new heater similar to the one which is currently working in the JCR
* To label the heater as the JCR’s to make sure it doesn’t go missing

Proposed by: Sandy Downs

Seconded by: Kate Ogden

Short Factual Questions

Haydn: Is it that cold?

Sandy: Yes.

Chris: The other heaters have been removed. Is there any way that we could stop them from being taken away?

Sandy: We can talk to College and make sure this doesn’t happen.

Amy: How many heaters did we originally have?

Sandy: Four.

Debate

Amy: Please buy four in total.

Alex L: There have been many motions for heaters. I don’t know how lasting this solution will be. I don’t think buying them just before Trinity is the right time?

Jamie: There is more chance of them going missing as it heats up in Trinity and they are not used.

Ian: Amendment for it to be bought in Michaelmas by the Treasurer.

Taken as friendly.

Toby A: We should stop the possibility of it being stolen.

Alex: Can you email Sam C to make sure it’s not stolen – Amendment to mandate the Accommodation Officer to do this.

Amendment taken as friendly.

Emily: Mandate someone to lock them in the office during the vac – Domestic Officer.

Amendment taken as friendly.

No opposition, motion passes.

**10. Exeter Hall Boycott**

**This JCR Notes**

Students at Exeter College are levied a ‘catering charge’ of £280/term for living in and £122.50/term for living out students

This charge does not entitle them to any meals at college and means that with food costs considered dinner is approximately £8

Exeter JCR are staging a boycott of their hall as a protest to their College

Exeter JCR are looking for ‘hallternatives’ as places to eat during this time

**This JCR Believes**

The Exeter catering charge is unfair to students

We should support action from other student groups within Oxford where we agree with the purpose of their action

Publicity and support for this action may increase the pressure on Exeter College to reconsider this charge

We should help the Exeter students to sustain this boycott while they hold it.

**This JCR Resolves**

To pledge solidarity with the Exeter JCR in their Hall Boycott

To mandate the JCR President to write to the Exeter JCR Presidents and other JCR Presidents and tell them of our support

To mandate the JCR President to liaise with the Exeter JCR President in ways we can provide food to the Exeter students, for example through Corpus students buying lunches on their Bod cards and being reimbursed in cash by Exeter students

Proposed: Aled Jones

Seconded: Sandy Downs

Amy: They have also had kitchen closed and they have not been able to provide welfare tea.

JCR tea a good thing. Amendment to add to ‘Resolves’: Possibility of them attending welfare teas with reimbursement.

Taken as friendly

Debate:

Jamie: I’ve known Exeter Male Welfare Rep for a long time – he will cover the cost, don’t worry!

Steph: Size of the room of women’s welfare – we shouldn’t commit to them coming without another motion.

Chris: Amendment so it includes the provision that Jamie liaises and finds out about their coming to our tea provided they give reimbursement.

Taken as friendly

Jamie: We could switch rooms.

Sandy: Assuming we are inviting some – it sets a precedent that other colleges will do the same thing.

Chris: There is a Facebook group in place – it wouldn’t be just us.

Aled: We will have an idea of numbers.

Overwhelming majority, motion passes.

**11. Motion on Charities**

**This JCR notes that:**

- Valentine's Day saw romance and friendship blossom anew in Corpus JCR

- This was very much facilitated by the acquisition of roses for sale by the Charities Rep from RAG

- The proceeds from the roses are supposed to go to RAG

- That we did this last year and it was good then too

**This JCR believes:**

- Receiving a rose on Valentine's day is really nice

- Having the charities rep buy them means you don't have to dash to the flower shop in the covered market at the last minute

- That this JCR is a charitable JCR and charity is fundamentally good

- Our charities rep should not be impoverished

**This JCR resolves:**

- To reimburse the cost of the roses to the charities rep and to send this and the profit from the roses to RAG

- To do this again next year under the same system

Proposed:Stephanie Cherrill

Seconded: Jamie Wells

Short Factual Questions:

Amy: Can we hear the numbers?

Maddie: I was told to get 75 roses and I did at £1 each.

Jamie: £75 has already gone to RAG; that goes to Charity.

Maddie: A lot of people haven’t yet paid me. Once people have paid me it will be about £20.

Debate:

Tom: It’s for charity. Maddie shouldn’t be giving all this to charity herself. Amendment so any money from the sale of roses goes to RAG and to reimburse Maddie the full £75.

No opposition motion passes.