MT14 Week 4 JCR Meeting Minutes  
7:30pm - 1st November 2014

Chair - Erika Pheby  
Secretary - Loughlan O’Doherty  
Returning Officer - Nikhil Venkatesh

A wonderful poem from Stanley, once again.

no questions for the officers.

*Nikhil: the first is a constitutional motion in that it will require 2/3rds, but it'll pass in this meeting because its only altering the standing orders.*

*Erika: have people had a chance to look through the changes? I won’t read them out, as it will take a very long time. Please consult the handout too.*

## Constitutional Motions

## Standing Orders

See Attached Document for the New Standing Orders

This JCR notes:

1. That there has been talk of accountability motions in the JCR for some time.

2. Although a carrot and stick scheme was proposed, committee have been given carrots but not sticks.

3. In discussing issues of accountability it became apparent that any means of enforcing accountability of officers would have to enforce accountability of their standing orders.

4. The Standing Orders of the JCR Officers are outdated and so in places incorrect.

This JCR believes:

1. That an accountable committee is a motivated one.

2. In order to properly enforce accountability, it is important that everyone is being held accountable for the right things.

This JCR resolves

1. to amend the current Standing Orders of JCR officers to the Revised version written in MT 2014.

**Proposed: Bethany Currie**

**Seconded: Phoebe Brereton**

Short Factual Questions

Alex: is there anything that is controversial in the revised Standing Orders?

Beth: by my standard, no.

Debate:

Luke: On point 7 of spectrum rep, it says I have to organise two freshers events - one for all, one for spectrum - identifying only. I wasn't comfortable with a meeting for only LGBTQ students. When people come to university in freshers week, many of them do not know how they identify. They could feel embarrassed walking into the event, and it could be the first time some of them have come out. Could i amend point seven of the spectrum reps standing orders, so it is to rephrased as targeted?

Amendment taken as friendly. Standing Order 7. of the Spectrum Rep now reads ‘7. to organize two Spectrum events in Freshers’ week, one for all Freshers and targeted at LGBTQ Freshers’

Erika: Any other debate?

Jamie: with Pimms and Rounders, is that not something that should be in the JCR policy document? Were meant to keep one constitutionally.

Nikhil: We will have a policy document from now on.

Jamie: I wont propose an amendment though.

Amy: has it ever been kept updated before?

Nikhil: I asked committee who was meant to do this, they didn't know. it says in my Standing Orders as RO that I’m to do it. I'm going to try to keep it updated, but we are started from scratch again.

Tobias: in point 3 of the Domestic Officer, can I suggest an amendment to delete the parenthetic part of point three?

Amendment taken as friendly. Standing Order 3. of the Domestic Officer now reads ‘to ensure the maintenance of the vending machines and the coffee machine which affect Junior Members as a whole but do not affect accommodation’

Luke: The acronym LGBTQ should be standardised throughout the Standing Orders.

Beth: That should have been done.

Amy: so is ‘Spectrum’ not a thing anymore?

Beth: It is, we just need to use the initialisation within the Standing Orders. We’re not retitling the rep though.

move to vote:

Overwhelming Majority

**MOTION PASSES**

## 2. Women’s Representative

This JCR notes:

1. The JCR position which is primarily concerned with women's rights issues is called "Gender Representative".

This JCR believes:

1. The title "Gender Representative" creates confusion about who is responsible for Trans issues (as highlighted by the recent constitutional amendment as a result of implementing the Trans Policy)

2. Trans issues are rightly the responsibility of the Spectrum Representative.

3. The proposed reforms to the constitution make this an appropriate time to clear up such confusion.

This JCR resolves:

1. To change the constitution to replace "Gender Representative" with "Women's Representative", along with any other appropriate amendments to the Standing Orders.

**Proposed: Kate Ogden**

**Seconded: Sandy Downs**

Short Factual Questions

none

Debate:

Amy: How do you think this will affect people who consider themselves to be trans-gender?

Kate: It shouldn't really affect what I was doing at the moment. I usually would come under spectrum.

Amy: I’d just like to debate this more. why do we need to change?

Sam: Does the gender rep not represent men as well?

Kate: at the moment the Equal Opps committee represents those with Disabilities, LGBTQ, and those who are BME. The role is definitely about the liberation of women.

Jamie: the Liberation issue is focused on women’s campaigns. Men don't face the same problems in their life.

Kate: It’s just the changing of the name.

Hugh: Whilst it is aimed at women, if a man had an issue, would there not be a gap in the market? Who would they go to then?

Kate: that would be more appropriate for the welfare officers. Gender rep is a liberation rep - liberation reps should focus on liberation campaigns and helping oppressed groups. I know that we've had issues before to do with the activity of the gender rep. I think its a really important role to have, and this can only promote its importance.

Amy: i have similar concerns with Jamie. I think the biggest concern with the women’s movement at the moment is the issue of a gender binary. Kate’s done great things, I'm just worried that it puts us too far on one side of the gender debate, and it would stop people from engaging with the issue.

Kit: intersectionality is so important within the Equal Opps Committee, so theres no reason why we cant allow for gender and LGBTQ to work together in that case.

Beth: as a liberation rep, you act as a liberation rep of an oppressed group - that is women. It doesn't necessarily motivate discussion on gender at all - for example, nothing has been done with the role of Gender Rep in the last couple of years.

Jamie: This would definitely get more things done, it would get people more involved and engaged with the issue.

Noni: When we talk about women as an oppressed group, we have an agreement that includes trans-feminine people too. It doesn't necessary just include cis-women. As long as everyone knows this, changing the name isn’t an issue.

Tobias: What about those who are biologically female and identify as a man - they wouldn’t be included within ‘Women’s Rep’ despite perhaps needing ‘liberation’.

Sandy: That would usual come under spectrum

Tobias: the issue is that they've been treated as a women, even though they do not identify as such.

Kit: maybe we should have a name that isn't just Women’s Rep then.

Sandy: what about Feminism Rep? I'm putting forward an amendment so we can discuss that instead then.

taken as unfriendly

Debate on the Amendment:

Kate: but then its a rep for a political opinion, then not a liberation group.

Jamie: Does anyone know of college that doesn't have a women’s rep named as such?

Kate: Of the ones I know, no. I checked about half of them, and they were all Women’s Rep.

Amy: could we not have a think about it?

Jamie: this would have to have a second reading anyway about it.

Luke: A women might not identify as a feminist. I don’t think calling it a women’s rep is necessarily exclusionary, as Noni said.

overwhelming no vote.

back to debating the motion.

Tobias: can I amend the motion to change Gender to Gender Equality?

taken as unfriendly.  
Move to Debate on the amendment.

Sandy: i think that’s useless. I don't think it really changes the meaning enough.

Amy: i think its the best option proposed. It gives us an implication of striving for a goal, without being exclusionary. The title is very important.

Noni: It might be just a semantic point, but ‘gender equality’ isn't an oppressed group.

Sandy: you'd also have to change all of the other reps names.

Amy: i don't think thats necessarily true. Things like Disabilities, Spectrum and BME, they all have very specific groups. Gender equality is much broader, I think its suitable to give it a much broader name.

Luke: To echo sandy, it’s obvious that the Rep stands for equality.

Tobias: Didn't we call Spectrum ‘Spectrum’ so it included straight people?

Jamie: that’s mostly because LGBTQ didn't cover enough people.

Amy: the oppressed group here is anyone who doesn't confer to gender norms. I think the same principles therefore apply.

move to vote on amendment.

voted against.

back to debating the motion.

Paul: I’m confused as to why this doesn't address mens issues. For example paternity leave and custody - there are concrete examples in real life where men are discriminated against Isn't the idea that we’re moving beyond male/female?

Sandy: do people want a doodle poll on this? the doodle poll could then go forward to the motion.

Nikhil: remember that this has to be debated next week anyway.

Erika: a doodle poll could be done regardless.

Kate: We should pass it this week, on the good faith that I’ll carry out a doodle poll.

Jamie: If Kate doesn't the JCR can just shoot the motion down.

Alex: why don't we just leave everything to e-democracy? This is the forum for this debate to be had, not a Doodle Poll.

Tobias: i don’t think everyone necessarily feels free to talk here.

Cameron: to pass something on good faith seems silly to me. why not just wait two more weeks?

Jamie: well this is just 2 weeks quicker.

Beth: its not unfair, we've had a good discussion. we should pass it this week.

Amy: the doodle poll is a good idea because there are so many options that it could be changed to.

Kate: If everyone could email me their alternatives, I’ll carry out the doodle poll.

Jamie: it could give them time to find out what the remaining college’s call their Gender Rep too.

Paul: if people are scare to discuss the issue, changing the name to something like Women’s rep could stop engagement in the debate.

Sandy: It’s not just fear why some are not talking, there is also absence. There is merit to being as inclusive as possible when naming liberation Reps, but that would mean we have to rename them all to Person’s Rep.

Tobias: if we have a poll, can we use AV?

Nikhil: what is the poll meant to be measuring?

Kate: its just an advisory poll.

Alex: Yes, but the voting system is important?

Erika: Can we not just use the normal system?

Nikhil: The normal system is STV, which will work in the same way for these purposes.

Amy: to summarise, you'll do a doodle poll, you'll amend to the outcome and we’ll pass it next week.

move to vote

overwhelming majority

**MOTION PASSES THIS WEEK.**

To be debated in two weeks time

# Monetary Motions

## 3. Music is the Food of Love

This JCR notes:

1. That the speakers in the JCR have gone missing.

This JCR believes:

1. That playing music in the JCR adds to its natural ambiance.

This JCR resolves:

1. To mandate the Entz President to purchase new speakers costing up to a price of £200.

**Proposed: Cameron McGarry**

**Seconded: Tobias Wijvekate**

Short Factual Questions

Kit: have you asked Sam Cunningham where the other ones went?

Cameron: No.

Hugh: isn’t £200 quite a lot?

Cameron: that depends on your definition of a lot really doesn't it.

Beth: what kind of speakers are we going to get for £200?

Cameron: I’ve suggested £200 as the upper-bound. It would get us good ones, and be high quality sound.

Ian: have you done any research into the specifications?

Cameron: No.

Debate.

Alex: In defence of 200 - it seems reasonable when compared to the emergency motion coming up later.

Kit: Can i suggest a amendment to mandate the Entz President to speak to the accommodation manager and see if we can find the old ones?

Amendment Taken as Friendly. This JCR Resolves 1. now reads ‘1. To mandate the Entz President to purchase new speakers costing up to a price of £200, if after speaking to the Accommodation Manager, the old speakers cannot be found’

Paul: It makes sense to buy good speakers that can survive.

Amy: Is there a way we can we stop our speakers going missing?

Ed: could we get someone to just take them home?

Beth: could they not go into international storage?

Cameron: whilst i agree with the principle that its bad to spend money if we think it would be stolen, this seems to be indicative of the bigger problem that I think we need to sort.

Aled: can we not mount them like in the MCR?

Erika: our walls are listed. But our ceiling wouldn't be…

Jamie: Can we lost of money on them so they’re so large they are immovable?

Ian: Can I propose an amendment to pass this through myself instead of Kit? I can get suggestions. I’m fine with the figure of £200 as the upperbound, I’d just rather consider the options first. I’d like to amend the motion so that kit goes to Sam Cunningham and finds out if we still have the speakers, if not the treasurer will buy the speakers.

Amendment Taken as Friendly. This JCR Resolves Now Reads: ‘1. To mandate the Treasurer to purchase new speakers costing up to a price of £200, if after the Entz President speaks to the Accommodation Manager, the old speakers cannot be found’.

Alex: which budget would this come out of?

Ian: It’s just a money motion, so from the budget.

Move to Vote.

no opposition.

**MOTION PASSES**

## 4. Lacrosse

This JCR notes:

1.At last years Challenge, we lost the lacrosse game 4-0.

2. The website of Corpus Christ Cambridge's JCR states that last year our team played as if we were playing "an egg and spoon race".

This JCR believes:

1. We did remarkably well given that almost nobody on our team had ever played lacrosse before.

2. It is important that we beat Corpus Cambridge at this years Challenge.

3. That we'll be better at lacrosse if we have the resources to practice beforehand, and so are able to ensure that our team at least knows which way to hold a lacrosse stick.

4. Investment in equipment will benefit the JCR for many years to come.

This JCR resolves:

1. To mandate the Clubs and Socs Rep to spend up to £130 purchasing 4 lacrosse sticks, appropriate for mixed lacrosse, for the use of JCR members.

**Proposed: Kate Ogden**

**Seconded: David Windmill**

*Short Factual Questions*

*Kate: I’m going to amend the motion to say £160 with balls.*

*This JCR Resolves 1. now reads ‘1. To mandate the Clubs and Socs Rep to spend up to £160 purchasing 4 lacrosse sticks and balls, appropriate for mixed lacrosse, for the use of JCR members.’*

*Kit: Do you know if people want to take up Lacrosse?*

*Kate: People were very keen last year at Corpus Challenge, I think they still would be.*

*Erika: we’ll keep them in the lockers - oh the speakers could go in there too!*

*Wrigley: how did you play last year if you didn't have sticks?*

*Kate: We had to borrow some last year, from someone who has left the College.*

*Kit: Have you talked to other colleges about JCR teams? We have a joint team for Mixed Hockey for example.*

*David: the Cuppers and League has already started. In the future perhaps.*

*Ian: Is it possible to train with the Sticks you will buy?*

*Kate: Our main issue last year was that we couldn't pass the ball. its integral to lacrosse that we can do that - you’re not allowed to score otherwise.*

*Jamie: Does it get Clubs and Socs money from college?*

*David: No, because there isn't a team - but we could ask.*

*Kate: is there still time to put this in your budget to college?*

*David: she hasn't confirmed what I've put forward yet.*

*Kate: can we ask for £200 more then?*

*David: I could try.*

*Move to Debate:*

*Jamie: Can I propose an amendment to ask for the money from the college Clubs and Socs budget, and that could reimburse whatever they get today?*

*Amendment taken as friendly. Added to JCR resolves: ‘2.To mandate the Clubs and Socs Rep to propose to the Clubs and Socs Junior Dean a budget of £160 for Lacrosse. If this is accepted, to reimburse the JCR the money spent on the the Lacrosse Sticks’*

*Kit: I’d like to mandate David to talk to other colleges about a lacrosse team too.*

*Amendment taken as friendly. Added to JCR Resolves ‘3. To mandate the Clubs and Socs Rep to talk to the Clubs and Socs Reps at other Colleges about forming a Mixed Lacrosse Team’*

*Paul: 4 sticks seems light. Moving up to 6 seems to make more sense, you could play properly then, and not just have to do drills. I’d like to suggest this as an amendment.*

*Amendment taken as friendly. JCR Resolves point 1. now reads ‘‘1. To mandate the Clubs and Socs Rep to spend up to £160 purchasing 6 lacrosse sticks and balls, appropriate for mixed Lacrosse, for the use of JCR members.’*

*Move to Vote*

*overwhelming majority*

***MOTION PASSES***

# Motions as Submitted

## 5. The Brunch Back of Notre Dame

This JCR Notes:

1. Brunch is objectively yummy

2. Fry-ups are the perfect hangover food after a wild night at a bop/party/club/library

3. Sunday lunch just doesn't have the same appeal.

This JCR Believes:

1. That weekends are designed for brunch.

2. That in an ideal world brunch would be every meal, but this cannot be.

3. 2 brunches a week would be twice as nice.

This JCR Resolves:

1. To ask the Domestic Officer to discuss (at Food Committee or otherwise) with the Kitchen whether they would be amenable to changing Sunday lunch (12.30-1.30) to Sunday brunch (11.30-12.30).

2. If the kitchen staff are willing, to inform the JCR of the date of change.

**Proposed: Beth Currie**

**Seconded: Kit Aina**

Short Factual Questions

none

Move to Debate:

Tobias: in keeping with the tradition of delegation to doodle pools, when we know that all of the JCR is not present. Could we change to amend to create a doodle poll to ask the JCR and MCR?

Beth: I don’t think this is necessary. The point of meetings like this is to pass things. Loughlan sent out the details of the motions to be discussed in the meeting with the Agenda.

Erika: everyone needs to be asked - food committee will only pass this if its polled.

Beth: In that case, I’ll amend to change 1. to mandate the domestic officer to organise a poll

Amendment taken as friendly. JCR Resolves point 1. changed to read ‘1. To mandate the Domestic Officer to poll the JCR about whether whether they would be amenable to changing Sunday lunch (12.30-1.30) to Sunday brunch (11.30-12.30). If so, the Domestic Officer is mandated to discuss (at Food Committee or otherwise) with the Kitchen whether this is possible.’

Jamie: Can I add a resolves to ask the Domestic Officer to discuss with the Bursar other changes that have recently been passed? Also, can we ask the manciple to send around vegetarian option for formal too?

Amendment taken as friendly. JCR Resolves point 2. added, which reads ‘2. To mandate the Domestic Officer discuss with the Domestic Bursar changes issues raised at previous Meetings (for example 2 meals a week with 2 vegetarian options, and vegetarian options to be sent round for formal).’

Erika: Last Michaelmas we passed a motion in the JCR, that we would have 2 meals a week with 2 veg options and 1 meat option.

Tobias: Which i did, and they said they do that already.

Sam: I quite like the Sunday lunch.

Tom: is the time fixed? The time is quite early. I propose an amendment to change it to 12:30 instead.

Taken as unfriendly.

Move to Debate on Amendment

Jamie: we should get rid of all timings in the motion, as we cant change that with staff.

Tom: fair point, I withdraw the motion.

Jamie: I’d like to take out all mention of timings within the Resolves.

Amendment taken as friendly. JCR Resolves point 1. changed to read ‘1. To mandate the Domestic Officer to poll the JCR about whether whether they would be amenable to changing Sunday lunch (12.30-1.30) to Sunday brunch. If so, the Domestic Officer is mandated to discuss (at Food Committee or otherwise) with the Kitchen whether this is possible.’

Move to Vote

21 votes for, 7 votes against.

**MOTION PASSES**

## 6. She Sells C-Cards on the C-Shore

Please consult the Appendices

This JCR Notes:

1. That in the Standing Orders of the Welfare Officer (Female) and the Welfare Officer (Male) it states:

*‘7. To provide (in co-operation with OUSU and/or Oxfordshire Health Promotion) free condoms for JCR members. To ensure there are condoms are available in their pidge at all times.’*

2. That up to this point, Welfare Officers have bought condoms from OUSU at cost price, and that this has been provided free to members of the JCR but taken from Welfare’s termly budget.

3. That the price of 144 condoms is £8.23 from OUSU.

4. That OUSU is now offering a service in conjunction with Sexual Health Oxfordshire called the C-Card system, which is free for all students under the age of 24. See Appendix 1 for the process of ordering condoms.

5. That the C-Card system requires the Welfare Officers to record data about individual members of the JCR, including how often they ask for condoms.

6. That the Welfare Officers provide multiple ways for members of the JCR to obtain condoms even if they choose not to use the welfare pidge, including delivering them to a specific place anonymously, and even an e-mail address which members can use to ask for condoms anonymously.

7. That the take-up of the C-Card system is not mandated by OUSU, provided that colleges provide free, easily accessible condoms to members of the JCR.

This JCR Believes:

1. That members of the JCR should be able to access condoms easily and without cost.

2. That the C-Card system does not make it easier to obtain condoms, and that the current system can provide members of the JCR with suitable access to free condoms.

3. That an adoption of the C-Card system would still require a supply of condoms to be kept in the Welfare Pidge in order for the Welfare Officers to fulfil their standing orders.

This JCR Resolves:

1. To continue with the present system and therefore to not introduce the C-Card system into the JCR.

**Proposed: Edward Green**

**Seconded: Amy Jones**

*Short Factual Questions*

*Hugh: how many do you spend roughly per term?*

*Jamie: I’m probably in a better place to answer that. Its like £75 for one term.*

*Ed: I've bought two boxes so far. At the moment we haven't spent that much (£40 so far)*

*Kit: does the C-Card system change the range of condoms supplied?*

*Ed: No, I don't think it would.*

*Jamie: I think it would - the people I've asked seem to think that there would be a wider range.*

*Paul: Why do they want the data?*

*Ed: They don’t, OUSU will be sending it onto Sexual Health Oxfordshire*

*Jamie: they need it for stock checking purposes too.*

*Paul: Why do they care per person?*

*Jamie: just so they check its 10 per request really.*

*Amy: its probably so people don't fraudulently take loads for free.*

*Move to Debate.*

*Ed: I’d like to Amend the motion so the new 7 of my standing orders in the motion.*

Amendment to change This JCR Notes part 1. to read ‘1. That in the Standing Orders of the Welfare Officer (Female) and the Welfare Officer (Male) it states:   
  
to provide (in co-operation with OUSU and/or Oxfordshire Health Promotion) free condoms for JCR members. To ensure there are condoms are available in their pidge at all times.’

*Jamie: I think this is a good scheme. This is a system that loads of different universities have too. They did this at the start of the year, and since then, we’ve seen the amount of condoms were going through increase. Given the amount were spending and the emails so far this term, we should definitely be looking into getting them for less money. I'm confused by the ‘Resolves’ of this motion - if we pass this motion, we don't sign up, if we reject, we don't sign up. I would like to change the motion to replace the resolves.*

*Taken as Friendly. Amendment so ‘This JCR Resolves’ now reads:*

*‘1. To mandate the Welfare Representative (Male) and the Welfare Representative (Female) to do go to OUSU’s C-Card Training session*

*2. To sign up to the C-Card Scheme as suggested by OUSU.*

*3. To continue buying OUSU condoms supplied to the JCR via the welfare pidge - i.e. to keep the current system also’.*

*Ed: We can buy latex condoms en masse. That shouldn't be an issue. Currently c-card is training is up in the air, from what I know. There will be a period where both of us will not be trained too - thats perhaps something to consider in the short term. The welfare budget can accommodate the amount of condoms we are buying at the current rate. We basically do what the c-cards do already as well. The only issue is that we cant stock the pidge fast enough, not that we do not have enough condoms.*

*Jamie: there are C-Card training sessions on 18th of November by the way.*

*Amy: To clarify, why would we be signing up to the scheme? At the moment if you asked the welfare officers to do get some specialised condoms, they would. It seems odd to do it for financial reasons, given the other motions so far.*

*Jamie: it would give us money to spend on other things for a start. We didn't buy latex free in bulk because they're not used that much. It would have been quite expensive to do. Therefore, his would give people more choice in their condoms.*

*Beth: Theres no good reason to not have it, as people don't have to use it. It can only be beneficial.*

*Ed: I would want to make clear, if you do sign up, then there will be a form with your name on that says how many condoms people have claimed. We would be effectively monitoring condom usage.*

*Jamie: I don’t see a problem with that. People know students have sex. The price of OUSU condoms actually went down recently, but our expenditure went up still.*

*Paul: what would the procedure be for dealing with the data? would it be cleared termly for example?*

*Ed: Were mandated to send details every term, so we’d clear it then I suppose . We would need to think about that if we passed the motion.*

*Luke: of course the welfare reps are known to us personally. What about if some people don't want the welfare reps to know they're having sex?*

*Tom: were not getting rid of the old system though. so you could anonymously claim them still.*

*Hugh: could the welfare officer just bulk buy for all of us using the scheme? It would be cheaper, and protect our anonymity.*

*Amy: you cant do that, there is a restriction to how much you can buy.*

*Jamie: Can we change The JCR Believes too amend to take out 2. It doesn't make sense at the moment.*

*Taken as Friendly. This JCR Believes to read as follows:  
‘1. That in the Standing Orders of the Welfare Officer (Female) and the Welfare Officer (Male) it states:*

*‘7. To provide (in co-operation with OUSU and/or Oxfordshire Health Promotion) free condoms for JCR members. To ensure there are condoms are available in their pidge at all times.’*

2. That the price of 144 condoms is £8.23 from OUSU.

3. That OUSU is now offering a service in conjunction with Sexual Health Oxfordshire called the C-Card system, which is free for all students under the age of 24. See Appendix 1 for the process of ordering condoms.

4. That the C-Card system requires the Welfare Officers to record data about individual members of the JCR, including how often they ask for condoms.

5. That the Welfare Officers provide multiple ways for members of the JCR to obtain condoms even if they choose not to use the welfare pidge, including delivering them to a specific place anonymously, and even an e-mail address which members can use to ask for condoms anonymously.

6. That the take-up of the C-Card system is not mandated by OUSU, provided that colleges provide free, easily accessible condoms to members of the JCR.’

*Move to Vote*

*19 Votes For, 6 Against, 4 people abstain.*

***MOTION PASSES***

Appendix 1 — How to Use the C-Card System

1. Sign up to the C-Card system and receive a card, showing your individual ID number, from the welfare officers;

2. When you want condoms, put the card in the Welfare Officer (Female)’s pidge;

3. Within 24 hours, the card will be returned to your pidge with a 12 pack of condoms;

4. You can use a card a maximum of 10 times — after that the officers will issue you a new card.

Appendix 2 — What the Welfare Officers do

5. Each time you order condoms, the welfare officers will make a note of it in a spreadsheet, alongside your name and individual ID number;

6. This spreadsheet will be sent to OUSU at the end of each term with names redacted

# Emergency Motions:

## 7. Cheeseboard Motion

This JCR Notes:

1. There is popular demand for Cheese Society meetings.

2. Cheese Society does not currently receive any funding from College.

This JCR Believes:

1. The proper consumption of cheese is hindered by the lack of a cheeseboard and cheese knife.

This JCR Resolves:

1. To grant the Cheese Society President up to £60 to purchase a cheeseboard and cheese knife.

**Proposed: Arthur Harris**

**Seconded: Luke Mintz**

*Short Factual Questions*

*Ed: Where are you buying your cheeseboards from? This seems expensive.*

*Arthur: We've looked at cheeseboards. John Lewis costs £25-£35.*

*Amy: Is there any way to get money from college as a part of the Clubs and Socs budget?*

*Arthur: this is an emergency motion for a reason - it needs to pass quickly!*

*David: the budget is submitted, but it’s yet to be confirmed. If he urgently needs it, then we could reimburse him I guess?*

*Beth: What would it look like?*

*Arthur: I’m open to suggestions, was thinking oak.*

*Move to Debate:*

*Amy:I would like to amend the motion, so the resolves contains a clause saying that the JCR will be reimbursed if the Clubs and Socs budget is accepted.*

*Taken as Friendly. ‘This JCR Resolves’ to now read ‘1. To grant the Cheese Society President up to £60 to purchase a cheeseboard and cheese knife. The money is to be reimbursed to the JCR if College grant a budget to the Cheese Society’.*

*Ian: Should we really be spending this much on a cheeseboard?*

*Arthur: How many people are on the Lacrosse team? 6? Its one of the most popular societies Corpus has seen, there are 95 people on the mailing list. A large chunk of the JCR will benefit from this.*

*Kit: It’s about cheese, not boards. What do you get from a good board?*

*Arthur: Well what do you get from a Rothko?*

*Kit: You haven't answered my question.*

*Arthur: It’s a question of values. We don’t eat from paper plates in Formal hall for example.*

*Tobias: given that its very popular, could we not ask all of the members to pay say 80p for the Cheese board?*

*Arthur: you want me to go around with a rusty bucket?*

*Amy: Currently its meant to becoming out of College’s Clubs and Soc’s budget anyway.*

*Ian: Colleges money comes from us…*

*Edmund: who would be the guardian of the cheeseboard?*

*Arthur: It would stay with the president, I suppose.*

*Sandy: I’m going to suggest an amendment to subside Cheese Society up to £30. If they want a more expensive board and knife they can add to it themselves.*

*Taken as Friendly. ‘This JCR Resolves’ to now read ‘1. To grant the Cheese Society President up to £30 to purchase a cheeseboard and cheese knife. If Cheese Society want a more expensive board, they can make up the rest themselves. The £30 is to be reimbursed to the JCR if College grant a budget to the Cheese Society.’*

*Ed: Is there a way to keep minutes secret? This has been farcical.*

*Luke: It has. Can I not second this?*

*Nikhil: It has to be minuted. And Luke, you cant withdraw now.*

*Move to Vote.*

*Overwhelming Majority*

***THE MOTION PASSES***

## 8. A Wild Spruce Springsteen Appeared

This JCR Notes:

1. That Christmas is fast approaching.
2. That we usually get a Christmas tree.

This JCR Believes:

1. That a Christmas tree would bring joy to all within Corpus

This JCR Resolves:

1. To mandate the JCR President to purchase a Christmas Tree for the Quad, that is no larger than 10ft tall, and no more expensive than £75

2. To christen the Tree Spruce Springsteen.

*Short Factual Questions:*

*none*

*Move to Debate:*

*Jamie: I’d like suggest an amendment to point 2 of ‘This JCR Resolves’. Treeoncé Knowles is cooler.*

*Taken as Friendly. Point 2. of ’This JCR Resolves’ now reads ‘2. To christen the Treeoncé Knowles.’*

*Move to Vote.*

*Overwhelming Majority*

***THE MOTION PASSES***